A novel approach to the synthesis of azobenzene using dicobalt carbonyl[†]

Fung-E Hong,* Cheng-Wei Chen and Yu-Chang Chang

Department of Chemistry, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung 40227, Taiwan

Received 20th May 2002, Accepted 24th June 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 1st July 2002

Reaction of $Co_2(CO)_8$ with $HC\equiv CC_6H_4NH_2$ in THF at 55 °C for 4 hours gave an unusual complex { $[Co_2(CO)_6-(\mu-HC\equiv C)]C_6H_4N=$ }₂ 2 in reasonable yield; the crystal structure of 2 reveals that it can be regarded as two alkynebridged dicobalt fragments joined by a diazene-based ligand; a mechanism for the formation of 2 is proposed.

It has been shown previously that an unusual carbonylation of primary amine takes place at room temperature when using $Co_2(CO)_8$ as catalyst (Scheme 1).¹ Complex 1, { $[Co_2(CO)_6-(\mu-HC=C)]CH_2NH$ }₂C=O, can be seen as two propargylamine-bridged dicobalt complexes coupled by a carbonyl group. Noticeably, carbonylation,² linking two propargylamine-bridged dicobalt complexes, takes place during the reaction. To the best of our knowledge, there is no prior example of the preparation of an azobenzene derivative from two primary amines through a cobalt-mediated reaction.³ We report herein a one-pot reaction of the formation of an azobenzene compound, a potential liquid crystal raw material,⁴ from 4-ethynylaniline *via* a cobalt-assisted coupling reaction.

Treatment of $Co_2(CO)_8$ with one molar equivalent of $HC \equiv CC_6H_4NH_2$ in THF at 55 °C for 4 hours gave an unusual complex, {[$Co_2(CO)_6(\mu$ -HC \equiv C)] $C_6H_4N=$ } (2; 18.3%) (Scheme 1).‡ The yield of 2 can be up to 38.4% by appropriate adjustment of the molar ratios of the two reactants (Table 1).

Suitable crystals of **2** were obtained on recrystallisation from CH₂Cl₂-hexanes (1 : 1) at 4 °C and its structure was determined by an X-ray diffraction method. § The structure of **2** (Fig. 1) can be viewed as two alkyne-bridged dicobalt fragments linked by a diphenyldiazene. Alternatively, it can be seen as two dicobalt fragments, Co₂(CO)₆, coordinated to HC=C-C₆H₄-N= N-C₆H₄-C=CH. It is interesting that the azo-like compound

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: proposed mechanism for the formation of 1 and 2; DFT studies on intermediates Va and Vb. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b204881g/



COMMUNICATION

Fig. 1 The molecular structure of $\{[Co_2(CO)_6(\mu+HC\equiv C)]C_6H_4N=\}_2 2$. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for **2**: N–N(0A) 1.240(5), N–C(12) 1.442(3), C(7)–C(8) 1.340(4), Co(1)–Co(2) 2.4724(5); C(7)–C(8)–C(9) 142.7(3), C(12)–N–N(0A) 113.0(3).

2 was observed rather than the expected type **1** compound (Scheme 1). The bond length of N–N(0A) is 1.240(5) Å, a typical N=N bond. It is 1.340(4) Å for C(7)–C(8), which is a typical C=C bond. The interatomic distance of Co(1)–Co(2), at 2.4724(5) Å, falls within the normal range for a Co–Co single bond.⁵ The bond angle of N(0A)–N–C(12) is 113.0(3)°, is consistent with normal sp² hybridization of the nitrogen atom. The existence of an N=N bond is also confirmed by an absorption at 1402 cm⁻¹, characteristic of an azo-group, in the infrared spectrum. Noticeably, the parallel reaction of HC=CC₆H₄NH₂ with Ru₃(CO)₁₂ was previously shown to result in the formation of the acetylide derivative [(μ -H)Ru₃(CO)₉(μ_3 -C=CC₆H₄NH₂)] *via* C–H bond activation.⁶

The reactions of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ with 4-ethynylaniline under various reaction conditions were carried out and the results are listed in Table 1. Several observations are noteworthy. Firstly, the yield of **2** was maximized for a molar ratio of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ to



Scheme 1

DOI: 10.1039/b204881g

J. Chem. Soc., *Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 2951–2953 **2951**

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2002



Fig. 2 Proposed mechanism for the formation of 2.

Table 1 The reaction of Co₂(CO)₈ with 4-ethynylaniline^a

Entry	[M] : [EA] : [NEt ₃] ^b	CO/psi	Yield of 2 (%)
1 ^c	1.0:1.0:0	_	13.6
2	1.0:1.0:0		18.3
3	1.5:1.0:0		31.9
4	1.75:1.0:0		38.4
5	2.0:1.0:0		36.3
6	1.0:1.0:2.0		16.6
7	1.0:1.0:0	14.7	14.3
8	1.0:1.5:0		9.9
9	1.0:1.0:0	500	5.3

^{*a*} All of the above reactions, except Entry 1, were carried out at 55 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 hours. ^{*b*} [M]: Co₂(CO)₈; [EA]: 4-ethynylaniline. ^{*c*} The reaction was carried out at 25 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 hours.

4-ethynylaniline of about 1.75 : 1. Secondly, the yield of **2** was reduced under one atmosphere of carbon monoxide. Third, the yield of **2** was found to decrease in the presence of NEt₃.

The reaction mechanism proposed for formation of 2 is shown in Fig. 2. First, the triple bond of 4-ethynylaniline is bridged by a dicobalt hexacarbonyl fragment to form $Co_2(CO)_6(\mu-HC\equiv CC_6H_4NH_2)$ 3 followed by the coordination of 3 to another molar equivalent of $Co_2(CO)_8$ through its amine site. Presumably, a splitting of two uni-cobalt complexes, $[Co_2(CO)_6(\mu-HC=CC_6H_4NH)Co(CO)_4]$ (I) and $HCo(CO)_4$ takes place after an oxidative addition process. Then, the first step involves loss of CO from I, forming the activated complex II, which subsequently dimerizes to yield III. Subsequent processes including hydride migration, hydrogen elimination, and N=N bond formation are attributed to formation of intermediates IV, V and VI, respectively. The product 2 results from reductive elimination of VI. Based on computational studies by the density functional theory (B3LYP) method,⁷ we believe that the reason for the formation of 2 rather than type 1 compounds rests on the characteristics of intermediate V.

The organic moiety, $\text{HC}\equiv\text{CC}_6\text{H}_4\text{N}=\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}\equiv\text{CH}$ 4, was obtained by treatment of 2 with oxidant, Ce(Iv),⁸ and work-up (Scheme 1).¶ The structure of 4 (Fig. 3) was determined by an X-ray diffraction method.∥ The bond length of N–N(0A) is 1.247(2) Å, a typical N=N bond. It is 1.180(2) Å for C(7)–C(8), which is a typical C≡C bond. The bond angle of C(1)–N–N(0A)



Fig. 3 The molecular structure of $HC\equiv CC_6H_4N=NC_6H_4C\equiv CH$ 4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for 4: N–N(0A) 1.247(2), C(7)–C(8) 1.180(2), C(8)–C(7)–C(4) 177.90(15), C(1)–N–N(0A) is 114.66(13).

is 114.66(13)°, which is close to that of 2 and also indicates that the two nitrogen atoms exist at almost sp² hybridization. This strong yellow colored compound is also evidenced by the absorption at 451.6 nm in the UV-VIS spectrum.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Science Council of the R.O.C. (Grant NSC 90-2113-M-005-021) for financially supporting this research.

Notes and references

 \ddagger Synthesis of 2: A solution of $\mathrm{Co}_2(\mathrm{CO})_8$ (0.6000 g, 1.750 mmol) and 4-ethynylaniline (0.2100 g, 1.790 mmol) in 20 cm3 of THF was frozen and degassed. The solution was then allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for 4 hours. Subsequently the resulting red solution was filtered through silica gel and purified using centrifugal thin-layer chromatography (CTLC). The first orange-red band of the title compound, { $[Co_2(CO)_6(\mu-HC=C)]C_6H_4N=$ }, was eluted with CH₂Cl₂-hexanes (1 : 1) and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield 2 (13.6%, 0.0956 g, 0.1190 mmol). The optimized yield of 2, 38.4%, was achieved when the molar ratio of Co2(CO)8 to 4-ethynylaniline was 1.75 : 1 and the reaction was carried out at 55 °C for 4 hours (see Table 1). Selected spectroscopic data for 2: IR (CH₂Cl₂/cm⁻¹) v1402.1 (N=N), 2097.8 (CO), 2058.1 (CO), 2021.6 (CO); ¹H ŇMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.89 (d, $J_{\text{H-H}} = 8.20$ Hz, 2H, arene), 7.66 (d, $J_{\text{H-H}} = 8.40$ Hz, 2H, arene), 6.435 (s, 1H, \equiv CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 199.11 (CO), 152.08 (1C, *ipso*arene), 141.11 (1C, p-arene), 130.95 (2C, o-arene), 123.49 (2C, m-arene), 88.41 (1C, ≡C), 72.82 (1C, ≡CH); FAB mass spectrum of 2, m/z: 804.7 [M⁺]; Elemental analysis: calc. for 2 C 41.93, H 1.26, N 3.49; Found: C 39.35, H 1.39, N 3.90%.

§ Crystal data for **2**: C₁₄H₅Co₂NO₆, M = 401.05, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 8.2979(6), b = 9.6328(8), c = 9.7840(8) Å, a = 78.950(2), $\beta = 75.756(2)$, $\gamma = 88.829(2)^\circ$, V = 743.67(10) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.791$ Mg m⁻³, $\mu = 2.259$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 396, T = 293(2) K, $R_1 = 0.0363$, $wR_2 = 0.1026$. Suitable crystals of **2** were sealed in thin-walled glass capillaries under a nitrogen atmosphere and mounted on a Bruker AXS SMART 1000 diffractometer. Intensity data were collected in 1350 frames with increasing ω (width of 0.3° per frame). The space group determination was based on a check of the Laue symmetry and systematic absences, and was confirmed using the structure solution. The structure was solved by direct methods using a SHELXTL package.⁹ All non-H atoms were located from successive Fourier maps and hydrogen atoms were for all non-H atoms, and fixed isotropic parameters were used for H atoms. CCDC reference number 186204.

¶ Preparation of 4: Complex 2 (0.5299 g, 0.66 mmol) was placed in 20 cm³ of acetone in a 100 cm³ flask and 1.5 molar equivalents of Ce(NH₄)₂(NO₃)₆ (0.5000 g, 0.9120 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred at 25 °C for 10 minutes. Saturated NaCl solution was added several times to wash the solution and the organic phase was collected and washed with 30 cm³ of diethyl ether. Subsequently the resulting orange solution was filtered through silica gel and purified using centrifugal thin-layer chromatography (CTLC). The first orange band of the title compound, HC=CC₆H₄N=NC₆H₄C=CH **4**, was eluted with CH₂Cl₂-hexanes (1 : 1) and the solvent was removed *in vacuo* to yield **4** (78.0%, 0.1186 g, 0.5150 mmol). Selected spectroscopic data for **4**: IR (CH₂Cl₂/cm⁻¹) v 1403.7 (N=N); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.86 (d, J_{H-H} = 7.61 Hz, 2H, arene), 7.64 (d, J_{H-H} = 7.21 Hz, 2H, arene), 3.24 (s, 1H, =CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 152.07 (1C, *p*-arene), 83.20 (1C, =C, *m*-arene), 125.01 (1C, *ipso*-arene), 122.93 (2C, *o*-arene), 83.20 (1C, =C), 79.69 (1C, =CH); EI mass spectrum of **4**, *m*: 230 [M⁺]; Elemental analysis: calc. for **4** C 83.38, H 4.38, N 12.16; Found: C 81.81, H 4.40, N 11.87%.

|| Crystal data for 4: $C_{16}H_{10}N_2$, M = 230.26, monoclinic, space group P2(1)/n, a = 3.9108(5), b = 5.9654(8), c = 25.640(3)Å, $\beta = 92.214(3)^\circ$, $V = 597.72(13) Å^3$, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.279$ Mg m⁻³, $\mu = 0.077$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 240, T = 293(2) K, $R_1 = 0.0416$, $wR_2 = 0.1249$. CCDC reference number 186202. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b204881g/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- 1 F.-E Hong, Y.-T. Tsai, Y.-C. Chang and B.-T. Ko, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 5487.
- 2 H. M. Colquhoun, D. J. Thompson and M. V. Twigg, *Carbonylation: Direct Synthesis of Carbonyl Compounds*, Plenum, New York, 1991.
- 3 N. A. Noureldin and J. W. Bellegarde, Synthesis, 1999, 939.
- 4 (a) W. Haase and M. A. Athanassopoulou, Crystal Structures of LC Mesogens, in Liquid Crystal I, D. M. P. Mingos, ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999; (b) B. Donnio and D. W. Bruce, Metallomesogens, in Liquid Crystal II, D. M. P. Mingos, ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.
- 5 (a) M. J. Went, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 41, 69; (b) L. S. Hegedus, Transition Metals in the Synthesis of Complex Organic Molecules, University Science Books, Mill Valley, California, USA, 1994, ch. 8; (c) R. S. Dickson and P. J. Fraser, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 12, 323; (d) R. S. Dickson and G. R. Tailby, Aust. J. Chem., 1971, 23, 229; (e) U. Krüerke and W. Hübel, Chem. Ber., 1961, 94, 2829.
- 6 A. J. Deeming, G. Hogarth, M.-Y. Lee, M. Saha, S. P. Redmond, H. Phetmung and A. G. Orpen, *Inorg. Chem. Acta*, 2000, **309**, 109.
- 7 For a more detail description see the ESI.
- 8 K. Tanino, T. Shimizu, M. Miyama and I. Kuwajima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 6116.
- 9 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL PLUS, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments, Madison, WI, 1998.